arXiv:1203.0347v1 [math.NT] 2 Mar 2012

# A look at quadratic form representations via modifications of continuants

Charles Delorme[*]
*Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique*
*Université Paris-Sud*

Guillermo Pineda-Villavicencio[†]
*Centre for Informatics and Applied Optimisation*
*University of Ballarat*

February 24, 2013

**Abstract**

In 1855 H. J. S. Smith [3] proved Fermat's Two Squares using the notion of palindromic continuants. In this paper we extend Smith's approach to proper quadratic form representations in some commutative Euclidean rings, including rings of integers and rings of polynomials over fields of characteristic different from 2.

While our results are natural extensions of Smith's approach and are unlikely to be new, we believe our presentation is new.

**Keywords:** Fermat's two squares theorem; continuant; integer representation.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 11E25, 11D85, 11A05.

## 1 Introduction

Fermat's Two Squares Theorem, that is,

---

[*] `cd@lri.fr`

[†] `work@guillermo.com.au`

> A prime number $p$ is representable by the form $x^2 + y^2$ iff $-1$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$.

is with no doubt a remarkable result. Many proofs of the theorem have been provided; see, for instance, [1,3,7,11,15]. It is also true that most proofs have much in common, for instance, Smith's proof is very similar to Hermite's [7], Serret's [11], and Brillhart's [1].

We first recall the definitions of Euclidean rings and continuants.

**Definition 1 ( [8, p. 148])** Euclidean rings *are rings $R$ with no zero divisors which are endowed with a Euclidean function* N *from $R$ to the non-negative integers such that, for all $m_1, m_2 \in R$ with $m_1 \neq 0$, there exist $q, r \in R$ such that $m_2 = qm_1 + r$ and $\mathrm{N}(r) < \mathrm{N}(m_1)$.*

Among well-known examples of Euclidean rings we will make use of the integers with $\mathrm{N}(u) = |u|$ and the polynomials over a field with $\mathrm{N}(0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{N}(P) = 2^{\mathrm{degree}(P)}$. In this paper we only consider commutative rings.

**Definition 2 (Continuants in arbitrary rings)** *Let $Q$ be a sequence of elements $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ of a ring $R$. We associate with $Q$ an element $[Q]$ of $R$ via the following recurrence formula*

$$[\,] = 1, [q_1] = q_1, [q_1, q_2] = q_1 q_2 + 1, \text{ and}$$
$$[q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n] = [q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}]q_n + [q_1, \ldots, q_{n-2}] \quad \text{if } n \geq 3.$$

*The value $[Q]$ is called the* continuant *of the sequence $Q$.*

Properties of continuants in commutative rings are given in [5, ch. 6.7]. Here we restrict ourselves to giving an identity due to Lewis Carroll (alias Charles Lutwidge Dodgson).

**Lemma 1 (Lewis Carroll identity)** *Let $C$ be an $n \times n$ matrix in a commutative ring. Let $C_{i_1,\ldots,i_s;j_1,\ldots,j_s}$ denote the matrix obtained from $C$ by omitting the rows $i_1, \ldots, i_s$ and the columns $j_1, \ldots, j_s$. Then*

$$\det(C)\det(C_{i,j;i,j}) = \det(C_{i;i})\det(C_{j;j}) - \det(C_{i;j})\det(C_{j;i})$$

*where $\det(M)$ denotes the determinant of a matrix $M$ and the determinant of the $0 \times 0$ matrix is 1 for convenience.*

The use of Lewis Carroll identity provides more properties, including the following.

P–1 $[q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n][q_2, \dots, q_{n-1}] = [q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}][q_2, \dots, q_n] + (-1)^n \ (n \geq 2)$.

Given two elements $m_1$ and $m_2$ in an Euclidean ring $R$, the Euclidean algorithm outputs a sequence $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ of quotients and a gcd $h$ of $m_1$ and $m_2$. The sequence of quotients given by the Euclidean algorithm is called the *continuant representation* of $m_1$ and $m_2$ as we have the equalities $m_1 = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n]h$ and $m_2 = [q_2, \dots, q_n]h$ unless $m_2 = 0$.

A representation of an element $m$ by the form $Q(x, y) = \alpha x^2 + \gamma xy + \beta y^2$ is called *proper* if $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. In this paper we are mostly concerned with proper representations.

For us the quadratic forms $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ and $g(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ are *equivalent* if there is a $2 \times 2$ matrix $M = (a_{ij})$ with determinant 1 such that $g(x, y) = f(a_{11}x + a_{12}y, a_{21}x + a_{22}y)$.

For equivalent forms $f$ and $g$ it follows that an element $m$ is (properly) represented by $f$ iff $m$ is (properly) represented by $g$.

Let $p$ be a prime number of the form $4k + 1$. In his proof of Fermat's Two Squares Theorem Smith [3] first shows the existence of a palindromic sequence $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_s, q_s, \dots, q_1)$ such that $p = [Q]$ through an elegant parity argument. This sequence then allows him to derive a solution for $z^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and a representation $x^2 + y^2$ for $p$.

Brillhart's optimisation [1] on Smith's construction took full advantage of the palindromic structure of the sequence $(q_1, \dots, q_{s-1}, q_s, q_s, q_{s-1}, \dots, q_1)$ given by the Euclidean algorithm on $p$ and $z_0$. He noted that the Euclidean algorithm gives the remainders

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= [q_{i+2}, \dots, q_{s-1}, q_s, q_s, q_{s-1}, \dots, q_1] \ (i = 1, \dots, 2s-1), \text{ and} \\ r_{2s} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so, in virtue of Smith's construction, rather than computing the whole sequence we need to obtain

$$\begin{cases} x = r_{s-1} = [q_s, q_{s-1}, \dots, q_1] \\ y = \ \ r_s \ \ = \ \ [q_{s-1}, \dots, q_1] \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have $y < x < \sqrt{p}$, Brillhart's stopping criterium.

Extensions of Brillhart's ideas were presented in [6, 12, 13], where the authors provided algorithms, at the expense of the palindromic nature of the continuant, for finding proper representations of natural numbers as primitive, positive-definite, integral and binary quadratic forms. For us the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ includes the zero.

This paper can be considered as a follow-up of our earlier paper [4]. In [4] we studied the use of continuants in some integer representations (e.g. sums of four squares) and sums of two squares in rings of polynomials over fields of characteristic different from 2. Here we deal with proper representations $x^2 + gxy + hy^2$ in Euclidean rings via modified continuants. In Section 2 we modify the notion of continuant and describe some of their properties. Section 3 is devoted to studying proper representations $x^2+gxy+hy^2$ in some commutative Euclidean rings, mainly in the ring of polynomials over a field of characteristic other than 2. In Section 4 we consider proper representations $x^2 + gxy + hy^2$ in the ring of integers. Some final remarks are presented in Section 5.

While the results presented here are unlikely to be new, we believe our presentation and extension of Smith's ideas are new.

## 2 Modified Continuants

With the aim of considering the problem of properly representing an element $m$ as $x^2 + gxy + hy^2$, we extend the notion of continuants.

**Definition 3 (Modified Continuants in Arbitrary Rings)** *In a ring $R$ associate with the element $[Q; h, s]$ the 3-tuple formed from a sequence $Q$ of elements $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ of $R$, an element $h$ of $R$ and an integer $s$ via the following recurrence formula*

$$[q_1, \ldots q_n; h, s] = \begin{cases} [q_1, \ldots q_n] & \textit{if } s \geq n \\ [q_1, \ldots q_{n-1}]q_n + [q_1, \ldots q_{n-2}]h & \textit{if } s = n-1 \\ [q_1, \ldots q_{n-1}; h, s]q_n + [q_1, \ldots q_{n-2}; h, s] & \textit{if } s < n-1 \end{cases}$$

The definition of modified continuant carries several consequences, which we will refer to as Modified Continuant Properties.

P–2 $[q_1, \ldots, q_n; h, s] = [q_1, \ldots, q_{s-1}]h[q_{s+2}, \ldots, q_n] + [q_1, \ldots, q_s][q_{s+1}, \ldots, q_n]$: Divide the products of subsequences of $Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ obtained by removing disjoint pairs of consecutive elements of $Q$ into two groups, depending on whether or not the products remove the pair $q_s q_{s+1}$ $(1 \leq s < n)$.

P–3 If in a ring $R$ we find a unit $u$ commuting with all $q_i$'s, then

$$[u^{-1}q_1, uq_2, \ldots, u^{(-1)^n}q_n; h, s] = \begin{cases} [q_1, \ldots, q_n; h, s] & \text{for even } n \\ u^{-1}[q_1, \ldots, q_n; h, s] & \text{for odd } n \end{cases}$$

P–4 Induction can provide us with the following.

$$[q_1, \ldots q_n; h, s] = \begin{cases} [q_1, \ldots q_n] & \text{if } s \geq n \text{ or } s = 0 \\ q_1[q_2, \ldots q_{n-1}, q_n] + h[q_3, \ldots q_n] & \text{if } s = 1 \\ [q_1, \ldots q_{n-1}]q_n + [q_1, \ldots q_{n-2}]h & \text{if } s = n-1 \\ q_1[q_2, \ldots q_n; h, s-1] & \text{if } 2 \leq s < n-1 \\ \ + [q_3, \ldots q_{n-2}; h, s-2] & \end{cases}$$

## 2.1 Modified continuants in commutative rings

P–5 The modified continuant $[q_1, \ldots, q_n; h, s]$ is the determinant of the tridiagonal $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{i,i} = q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_{i,i+1} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < n$, $a_{s+1,s} = -h$ and $a_{i+1,i} = -1$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $i \neq s$. See the determinant of the matrix below for a small example.

$$[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5; h, 3] = \det( \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & q_2 & 1 & & \\ & -1 & q_3 & 1 & \\ & & -h & q_4 & 1 \\ & & & -1 & q_5 \end{bmatrix} )$$

P–6 $[q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n; h, n-s] = [q_n, \ldots, q_2, q_1; h, s]$. This follows from applying Property P–2 on both sides of the equality.

# 3 From $Q(x, y)$ to $Q(z, 1)$ and back

In this section, considering the form $Q(x, y) = x^2 + gxy + hy^2$, we deal with the problem of going from a representation $Q(x, y)$ of an element $m$ to a multiple $Q(z, 1)$ of $m$ and back. We begin with a very general remark which is valid for every commutative ring.

**Proposition 1** *If $Rx + Ry = R$ then there exist $z \in R$ such that $Q(x, y)$ divides $Q(z, 1)$, where $Rm$ denotes the ideal generated by $m$.*

*If $R$ is Euclidean, we can explicitly find $z$ and the quotient $Q(z, 1)/Q(x, y)$ with modified continuants.*

**Proof.** We have $u$ and $v$ such that $xu + yv = 1$. Then, computation with norms in the ring obtained from $R$ by adjoining formally a root of the polynomial $T^2 - gT + h$ provides the identity

$$Q(x, y)Q(v - ug, u) = Q(xv - xug - yuh, xu + yv),$$

which proves the first assertion. This identity can be interpreted also as a kind of Lewis-Carroll identity.

The determinant of the tridiagonal matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} q_n & 1 & & & & & & \\ -1 & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ & \ddots & q_2 & \ddots & & & & \\ & & -1 & q_1 & \ddots & & & \\ & & & -h & q_1 + g & \ddots & & \\ & & & & -1 & q_2 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is $Q(x, y)$ with $x = [q_1, \dots, q_n]$ and $y = [q_2, \dots, q_n]$ if $n \geq 1$.

Also, $Q(x, y)Q([q_1, \dots q_{n-1}], [q_2, \dots q_{n-1}]) = Q(z, 1)$, where $z = (-1)^{n+1}c$ and $c$ is the determinant of the matrix formed by the $2n - 1$ first rows and columns of $M$. $\square$

**Remark 1** *Let $R$ be a commutative ring.*

*If 2 is invertible, the form $x^2 + gxy + hy^2$ can be rewritten as $(x + gy/2)^2 + (h - g^2/4)y^2$. We may then assume $g = 0$ without loss of generality.*

*If moreover $-h$ is an invertible square, say $h + k^2 = 0$, then $x = \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^2 + h\left(\frac{x-1}{2k}\right)^2$*

Below we provide a proposition which can be considered as an extension of [4, Proposition 2].

**Proposition 2** *Let $R = \mathbb{F}[X]$ be the ring of polynomials over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with characteristic different of 2, and $-h$ a (non-null) non-square of $\mathbb{F}$.*

*If $m$ divides $z^2 + ht^2$ with $z, t$ coprime, then $m$ is an associate of some $x^2 + hy^2$ with $x, y$ coprime.*

**Proof.** We introduce the extension $\mathbb{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ by a square root $\omega$ of $-h$. The ring $\mathbb{G}[X]$ is principal and $z^2+ht^2$ factorises as $(z-\omega t)(z+\omega t)$, with the two factors being coprime. Introduce $x+\omega y = \gcd(m, z+\omega t)$; then $x-\omega y$ is a gcd of $m$ and $z-\omega t$, using the natural automorphism of $\mathbb{G}$. The polynomials $x-\omega y$ and $x+\omega y$ are coprime and both divide $m$. Thus, $m$ is divisible by $(x-\omega y)(x+\omega y) = x^2+hy^2$. On the other hand, $m$ divides $(z-\omega t)(z+\omega t)$. Consequently, $m$ is an associate of $x^2+hy^2$. Since $x-\omega y$ and $x+\omega y$ are coprime, $x$ and $y$ are coprime. □

**Proposition 3** *Let $R = \mathbb{F}[X]$ be the ring of polynomials over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with characteristic different of 2, and let $h$ be a polynomial of degree 1.*

*If $m$ divides $z^2+ht^2$ with $z, t$ coprime, then $m$ is an associate of some $x^2+hy^2$ with $x, y$ coprime.*

**Proof.** Consider the extension of the ring $R = \mathbb{F}[X]$ by a root of $T^2+h$; this extension of $R$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[T]$.

If $m$ is a multiple of $h$, then $m$ is an associate of $(hy)^2+hx^2$, with $hy$ and $x$ coprime. This representation of $m$ follows from a representation $x^2+hy^2$ of $m/h$.

We may now assume that $h$ and $z$ are coprime. Then, $z^2+ht^2$ factors as $(z-Tt)(z+Tt)$, with the two factors being coprime. Reasoning as in Proposition 2, we let $x+Ty$ be the gcd of $m$ and $z+Tt$ and we obtain that $x-Ty$ is the gcd of $m$ and $z-Tt$ and that $m$ is an associate of $x^2+hy^2$ with $x, y$ coprime. □

Propositions 2 and 3 give some cases where a reciprocal of the first assertion in Proposition 1 holds. Next we show how modified continuants and these propositions can be used to find the representations.

The next remark generalises [4, Remark 3].

**Remark 2 (Algorithmic considerations)** *For the cases covered in Propositions 2 and 3 we can resort to Brillhart's [1] optimisation to accelerate the computation. We stop the division of $m$ by $z$ when a remainder $r_{s-1}$ with degree at most $\deg(m)/2$ is encountered. This will be the $(s-1)$-th remainder, and $(uq_s, u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \ldots, u^{(-1)^{s-2}}q_2)$ are the quotients so far obtained. Then*

$$x = \begin{cases} r_{s-1} & \textit{for odd } s \\ u^{-1}r_{s-1} & \textit{for even } s \end{cases}$$

$$y = \begin{cases} [uq_s, u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \ldots, u^{(-1)^{s-2}}q_2] & \textit{for odd } s \\ u^{-1}[uq_s, u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \ldots, u^{(-1)^{s-2}}q_2] & \textit{for even } s \end{cases}$$

*This remark follows from dividing* $m/u = [q_s, \ldots, q_1, q_1, \ldots, q_s; h, s]$ *by* $z = [q_{s-1}, \ldots, q_1, q_1, \ldots, q_s; h, s-1]$ *using modified continuant properties.*

The argument brought forward in [4, Proposition 3] can be applied to the form $x^2 + hy^2$ in polynomials over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2, where $h \in \mathbb{F}$ is a non-square.

**Corollary 1 (of Proposition 2: $h$ non-square unit in $\mathbb{F}[X]$)** *Let $m$ be a non-unit of $\mathbb{F}[X]$ and a divisor of $z^2 + h$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ with* $\deg(z) < \deg(m)$*. Then, $m = (x^2 + hy^2)u$ for some unit $u$ and the Euclidean algorithm on $m$ and $z$ gives the unit $u$ and the sequence*

$$(uq_s, u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \ldots, u^{(-1)^{s+1}}q_1, u^{(-1)^s}h^{-1}q_1, \ldots, u^{-1}h^{(-1)^s}q_s)$$

*such that $x = [q_1, \ldots q_s]$ and $y = [q_2, \ldots, q_s]$.*

In the next example we illustrate Remark 2 and the method of Corollary 1, in this order. Let $h = 3$ and $m = 1 + 2X + 3X^2 + 2X^3 + X^4$, then $m$ divides $((5 + 12X + 6X^2 + 4X^3)/3)^2 + 3$. The Euclidean division gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2X + 3X^2 + 2X^3 + X^4 =& ((5 + 12X + 6X^2 + 4X^3)/3)(3X/4 + 3/8) \\ &+ 3/8 - 3X/4 - 3X^2/4 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first remainder has degree at most $\deg(m)/2$, thus we stop the division process and obtain $s = 2$, $x = (3/8 - 3X/4 - 3X^2/4)/u$ and $y = [3X/4 + 3/8]/u$. It is now plain to get $u = 9/16$.

If instead we use the method of Corollary 1, then we obtain the unit $u = 9/16$ and the sequence $(9/16 \cdot 2/3 \cdot (1 + 2X), 16/9 \cdot (-1/2 - X), 9/16 \cdot 1/3 \cdot (-1/2 - X), 16/9 \cdot 3 \cdot 2/3 \cdot (1 + 2X))$. From this sequence we know that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= [2/3 \cdot (1 + 2X), -1/2 - X] \\ y &= [2/3 \cdot (1 + 2X)] \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2 (of Proposition 3: $h$ of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}[X]$)** *Let $m$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}[X]$ and a divisor of $z^2 + h$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ with* $\deg(z) < \deg(m)$ *and $z, h$ coprime. Then, $m = (x^2 + hy^2)u$ for some unit $u$ and the values of $x$ and $y$ can be obtained by Remark 2.*

Consider the following example, let $h = X$, $m = 1 + X + X^3 + X^4$ and $z = (X^3 + 2X^2 + 1)/2$. Then, the division gives

$$1 + X + X^3 + X^4 = (X^3 + 2X^2 + 1)/2 \cdot (-2 + 2X) + 2 + 2X^2$$

At this step we should stop the division process as the first remainder has at most half the degree of $m$. Now we know that $s = 2$, $x = (2 + 2X^2)/u$ and $y = u^{-1}[-2 + 2X]$ for a unit $u$. It plainly follows that $u = 4$.

We now may wonder how far can we push this method for polynomials over a field of characteristic different from 2? That is, will the method work for $h$ with $\deg(h) > 1$ over any such field?

We first note that the property

"$m | z^2 + h \Rightarrow \exists x, y, u\ (m = u(x^2 + y^2 h) \wedge u$ unit)"

does not hold in general for $h$ reducible. Consider $h = X^3 + X^2 + X$ in polynomials over a field of characteristic $\neq 3$. Then, $X^2 + X + 1$ divides $0^2 + 1^2 h$ and is certainly not of the form $x^2 + y^2 h$. Indeed, here we have either $y^2 h$ null or of odd degree $\geq 3$. In the former case, it follows that $x^2 + y^2 h = x^2$ is a square, but $X^2 + X + 1$ is not a square in a field of characteristic $\neq 3$, while in the latter case $x^2 + y^2 h$ has degree $\geq 3 >$ degree$(X^2 + X + 1)$.

What about irreducible $h$ with $deg(h) \geq 2$? Well, already for degree 2 the property does not hold in general. Indeed, consider in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ the polynomials $h = X^2 - 2$, $z = X^2$ and $m = X - 1$. Observe that $X - 1$ divides $X^4 + X^2 - 2$ and that $X - 1$ is not of the form $u(x^2 + y^2(X^2 - 2))$. To see this note that the degree of $x^2 + y^2(X^2 - 2)$ is either $2\deg(x)$ or $2 + 2\deg(y)$.

For specific fields we find situations where the property holds. Take, for instance, the field $\mathbb{R}$ of reals, $h = X^2 + 1$ and every real polynomial $m$ taking only positive values over $\mathbb{R}$. It is known that the polynomials $m$ over $\mathbb{R}$ that take at every point of $\mathbb{R}$ a positive value has the form $\prod(a_k X^2 + 2b_k X + c_k)$, where $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_k^2 - a_k c_k < 0$. Thus, it suffices to consider the case of $m = aX^2 + 2bX + c$ with $a > 0, c > 0$ and $b^2 - ac < 0$. If $b = 0$, then

$$m = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{a-c}X)^2 + \sqrt{c}^2(X^2 + 1) & \text{if } a \geq c \\ \sqrt{c-a}^2 + \sqrt{a}^2(X^2 + 1) & \text{if } a \leq c. \end{cases}$$

If instead $b \neq 0$, then, setting $d = \sqrt{(a + c - \sqrt{(a-c)^2 + 4b^2})/2}$, we obtain $m = (\sqrt{a - d^2}X + e\sqrt{c - d^2})^2 + d^2(X^2 + 1)$, where $e = \pm 1$ has the sign of $b$.

For $h = -X^2 - 1$ and every real polynomial $m$ over $\mathbb{R}$, we have another situation where the property holds. Observe that the form $Q(x, y) = x'^2 +$

$(-X^2-1)y'^2$ is equivalent to the form $Q(x,y)=x^2+2Xxy-y^2$ (by Remark 1). Any polynomial of degree 1 is an associate of some $a^2-b^2+2abX$ with units $a$ and $b$. We now take care of polynomials $m=k(X^2+2vX+w)$ with no real zeros and $k,v,w\in\mathbb{R}$. Here note that $v^2<w$. Set $p(X)=(X+a)^2+2b(X+a)X-b^2$. We solve the equation $p(X)=m$ in $(a,b,k)$. We first find that $k=1+2b$, $a=kv/(1+b)$ (if $b\neq-1$) and $w=(a^2-b^2)/k=-X^2-2vX$. If $b=-1$ then $v=0$, $k=-1$ and $a=\pm\sqrt{1-w}$ with $0<w\leq 1$. If instead $b\neq-1$, then, substituting $a=kv/(1+b)$ into $-(1+b)^2p(X)$, we obtain

$$b^4+2(w+1)b^3+(5w-4v^2+1)b^2+4(w-v^2)b+w-v^2=0.$$

This equation in $b$ is $1/16$ when $b=-1/2$ and $-v^2$ when $b=-1$. Hence there is a solution $b$ in the open interval $(-1,-1/2)$ for $w>1$. Consequently, each real polynomial is an associate of some polynomial $x^2+2xyX-y^2=(x+Xy)^2+(-1-X^2)y^2$.

Using the automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}[x]$, both previous approaches can easily be applied to any real polynomial of degree 2 with no real roots.

### 3.1 From $Q(z,1)$ to $Q(x,y)$ with assumptions

Consider the form $Q(x,y)=x^2+gxy+hy^2$, now we deal with the following question:

> Given $z,m$ in a commutative ring $R$ such that $m=Q(x,y)u$ (with $u$ a unit) is a divisor of $Q(z,1)$, under which conditions can we recover a representation $Q(x,y)u$ of $m$ from $m$ and $z$ via modified continuants?

Throughout this section we suppose that the element $m$ admits a proper representation $Q(x,y)u$ with $u$ a unit.

By Proposition 1 there exists a multiple of $m$ of the form $Q(z,1)$ with $z=(-1)^{s+1}[q_{s-1},\dots,q_1+g,q_1,\dots,q_s;h,s-1]$. We may then assume $m/u=[q_s,\dots,q_1+g,q_1,\dots,q_s;h,s]$ with $x=[q_1,\dots,q_s]$ and $y=[q_2,\dots,q_s]$. Observe that in the case of $s=0$ we deal with the form $Q(x,y)=x^2+y^2$, where $m/u=[q_s,\dots,q_1,q_1,\dots,q_s]$ and $z=[q_{s-1},\dots,q_1,q_1,\dots,q_s]$; this case was studied in [4].

Conditions for the aforementioned question will heavily depend on the ring under consideration. In a ring $R$ such conditions will provide deterministic algorithms for solving the equations $m=Q(x,y)u$.

One way of attacking this problem is by ensuring the uniqueness of the division process of $m$ by $z$. In this context the conditions we are after are those guaranteeing that the division process of $m$ by $z$ works up to we find an associate of $x$ as a remainder. By properties of modified continuants, we know that an associate of $x$ crops up as one of the remainders $r_{s-1}$ in the division of $m$ by $z$. Hence, a suitable algorithm goes as follows: divide $m$ by $z$ and stop the division at "the right remainder $r_{s-1}$". If we succeed to do that, then

$$x = \begin{cases} r_{s-1} & \text{for odd } s \\ u^{-1} r_{s-1} & \text{for even } s \end{cases}$$

$$y = \begin{cases} [uq_s, u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \dots, u^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_2] & \text{for odd } s \\ -u^{-1}[-uq_s, -u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \dots, -u^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_2] & \text{for even } s \end{cases}$$

Here $(-1)^{s+1}uq_s, (-1)^{s+1}u^{-1}q_{s-1}, \dots, (-1)^{s+1}u^{(-1)^{s-2}}q_2$ are the quotients so far obtained. This is a generalisation of Remark 2.

Consequently, we need a criterium to stop the division at the right remainder $r_{s-1}$.

As an illustration, consider the example below for $s = 4$. Here $m$ can take the form $[q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 4]$ and there is a $z$ of the form $-[q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 3]$. Then, dividing $m$ by $z$, in virtue of the assumed uniqueness of the division process, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 4] =& - [q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 3] \cdot (-q_4 u) \\ & + [q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 2]u \\ -[q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 3] =& [q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 2]u \cdot (-q_3/u) \\ & - [q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 1] \\ [q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 2]u =& - [q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 1] \cdot (-q_2 u) \\ & + [q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]u \end{aligned}$$

Here we should stop as $[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]u$ is an associate of $x$.

Thus, we first need conditions guaranteeing that the above division process is genuine, which means that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{N}(-[q_3, q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 3]) > \mathrm{N}([q_2, q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 2]u) > \\ &\quad \mathrm{N}(-[q_1 + g, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; h, 1]) > \mathrm{N}([q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]u). \end{aligned}$$

and secondly, we need a criterium telling us where to stop the division process.

We consider the case of the polynomials over $\mathbb{F}[X]$ with $\mathbb{F}$ being of characteristic other than 2. By Remark 1, we assume $Q(x, y) = x^2 + hy^2$. The conditions here are the following.

(i) Uniqueness of the division process. The Euclidean function $\mathrm{N}(0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{N}(P) = 2^{\mathrm{degree}(P)}$ for $P \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ does guarantee this.

(ii) The chosen $z$ satisfies $\mathrm{N}(z) < \mathrm{N}(m)$. This implies that $\deg(q_i) \geq 1$ for $i = 2, \ldots, s$. There is no condition on $q_1$.

(iii) $h$ is square-free.

Using properties of modified continuants it is not difficult to see that the above division process is genuine.

We now turn our attention to the stopping criterium. The stopping criterium is $\leq$ degree$(m)/2$, that is, we stop when we find a remainder $r_{s-1}$ of degree $\leq$ degree$(m)/2$. We now prove this assertion, but first we remark that, if $h$ is a non-square unit in $\mathbb{F}[X]$, then we may assume $\deg(x) > \deg(y)$.

In the division process we find that the remainder $r_{s-2}$ is an associate of $q_1 x + hy$ and that $\deg(r_{s-2}) > \deg(m)/2$. Indeed, if $\deg(x) > \deg(y)$ then $\deg(q_1) \geq 1$ and we are done. If instead $\deg(y) > \deg(x)$ then $q_1 = 0$ and we only run into "trouble" if $\deg(h) = 0$, which is actually fine by the above remark. It only remains the case of $\deg(x) = \deg(y)$, but again, we only run into "trouble" if $\deg(h) = 0$. Thus, we have proved the assertion.

A more complex case is $\mathbb{Z}$. For the form $Q(x, y) = fx^2 + hy^2$ over $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the conditions

(i) Uniqueness of the division process. To ensure this we restrict the division to $\mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $f, h \geq 1$, $\gcd(f, h) = 1$, and $m \geq f + h + 1$ with $\gcd(m, fh) = 1$, and

(iii) $1 < z < m/2$.

together the stopping criterium $r_{s-1} < \sqrt{m/f}$ provide the desired elements; see [6].

# 4 From $Q(z,1)$ to $Q(x,y)$: integral quadratic forms

In Subsection 3.1, we presented a deterministic algorithm that, under certain conditions, can find representations $Q(x,y)$ for an associate of an element $m$. Such an algorithm assumed the representations $Q(x,y)$ existed. In this section, we do not make such assumptions. Given integers $m, z$ such $m|Q(z,1)$, we provide an algorithm that proves the existence of representations $Q(x,y)u$ of $m$ for a unit $u$ and certain forms $Q$.

Since 2 is not invertible in $\mathbb{Z}$, we have to consider the rings of algebraic integers of $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-h}]$, that is, the rings $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-h}]$ for forms $x^2 + hy^2$ with $|h|$ square-free and $h \not\equiv -1 \pmod 4$, and the ring $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{1-4h})/2]$ for forms $x^2 + xy + hy^2$ with $|1-4h|$ square-free.

What are those rings of integers for which the following property holds?

"$m|Q(z,1) \Rightarrow \exists x, y, u\ (m = uQ(x,y) \wedge u$ unit)."

The answer is given by the rings whose corresponding forms have class number $H(\Delta)$ equal to 1 [2, pp. 6–7,81–84]. In the case of $\Delta < 0$, all the principal rings satisfy the property. These $\Delta$'s are called Heegner's numbers $(1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163)$. In this context, modified continuants provide a constructive proof of the property. For the case of $\Delta > 0$, while we do not even know whether the list of such determinants is infinite, it is conjectured this is likely the case [2, pp. 81–82].

The *class number* $H(\Delta)$ gives the number of equivalence classes of integral binary quadratic forms with discriminant $\Delta$.

Below we present a division algorithm (Algorithm 1) which, for any Heegner's number $d$, gives a proper representation $Q(x,y)$ of $m$ assuming $m$ divides $Q(z,1)$. Unsatisfactorily, our algorithm does not terminate correctly for all $\Delta > 0$ with $H(\Delta) = 1$.

Since $m = 1$ trivially admits proper representation $(1,0)$ of $Q(x,y) = x^2 + gxy + hy^2$, Algorithm 1 assumes $|m| > 1$.

**Remark 3 (Algorithm 1: Prioritising non-null quotients)** *In the Euclidean division of* $z_{s-1}$ *by* $m_s$ *with* $|z_{s-1}| < |m_s|$, *a valid quotient* $k_s$ *could be* $\pm 1$ *or 0. By "prioritising non-null quotients* $k_s$*" we mean that, in this situation, we always choose the non-null* $k_s$.

**Proposition 4 (Algorithm 1 Correctness)** *Let* $h, d, u, m_0, z_0$ *and* $m_i, z_i, q_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$ *be as in Algorithm 1. Then, Algorithm 1 produces a proper representation* $Q(x,y)$ *of* $m_0/u$.

**Algorithm 1:** Deterministic algorithm for constructing a proper representation $Q(x, y) = x^2 + gxy + hy^2$ of an element $m$

```
input  : A Heegner's number $d$, an integer $m_0$ with $1 < |m_0|$ and a
         solution $z_0$ of $Q(z, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_0}$ with $1 < |z_0| < |m_0|$.
output: A proper representation $Q(x, y)$ of $m_0/u$ ($u = \pm 1$).
$s \leftarrow 0$;
while $|m_s| \neq 1$ do
    $s \leftarrow s + 1$;
    $m_s \leftarrow Q(z_{s-1}, 1)/m_{s-1}$;
    find $k_s, z_s \in R$ such that $z_{s-1} = k_s m_s + z_s$ with $|z_s| < |m_s|$;
    /* We prioritise non-null quotients $k_s$.                    */
end
/* Here we have the unit $m_s$ and sequence $(k_1, \dots, k_s)$.       */
/* To keep consistency with the previous sections of the
   paper we reverse the subscripts of the quotients                 */
$(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \leftarrow (k_s, k_{s-1}, \dots, k_1)$;
$x \leftarrow [m_s q_1, m_s^{-1} q_2, \dots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s]$;
$y \leftarrow [m_s^{-1} q_2, \dots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s]$;
return $(x, y)$
```

**Proof.** If $d \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, we consider the form $Q(x, y) = x^2 + xy + hy^2$ with $h = (1 + d)/4$, otherwise the form $Q(x, y) = x^2 + hy^2$ with $h = d$. As the proof method is the same in both cases we restrict ourselves to the former case, that is, to the case of $d = 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ and $h = 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 17, 41$.

**Claim 1. Algorithm 1 terminates with the last $m_j$ being $\pm 1$.**

We first prove that Algorithm 1 terminates. As a general approach we show $|m_{i+1}| < |m_i|$ $(i = 0, \dots, s-1)$. Once this decreasing character fails we show that the algorithm anyway stops.

Recall we have $|m_i| \geq |z_i| + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, s-1$.

**Case $d = 3, 7$:** $|m_i||m_i| \geq z_i^2 + 2|z_i| + 1 > |z_i^2 + z_i + h| = |m_i||m_{i+1}|$, and thus $|m_i| > |m_{i+1}|$ for $|z_i| > 1$. In the case that $d = 7$ and a certain $z_i$, say $z_{s-1}$, is equal to 1, we have that $1 + 1 + 2 = m_{s-1}m_s$. From this we get that either $|m_{s-1}| = 2$ and $|m_s| = 2$ or $|m_{s-1}| = 4$ and $|m_s| = 1$. The configuration $|m_{s-1}| = 4$ and $|m_s| = 1$ will cause the algorithm to stop with $m_s$ being a unit. In the case of $|m_{s-1}| = 2$ and $|m_s| = 2$, in the next step we have $z_{s-1} = m_s k_s + z_s$ and the algorithm would obtain $z_s = -1$, which implies $|m_{s+1}| = 1$.

Consequently, in these two cases Algorithm 1 terminates with the last $m_j$ being a unit.

**Case** $d = 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$**:** Analogously, we have that $|m_i||m_i| \geq z_i^2 + 2|z_i| + 1 > |z_i^2 + z_i + h| = |m_i||m_{i+1}|$, unless $-\lfloor \frac{2h-1}{6} \rfloor \leq z_i \leq h-1$. The case of $-\lfloor \frac{2h-1}{6} \rfloor \leq z_{s-1} \leq h-2$ implies $|m_{s-1}| > |m_s|$ with $|m_s| = 1$ (as $Q(z_{s-1}, 1) = z_{s-1}^2 + z_{s-1} + h$ is a prime). The fact that $Q(z_{s-1}, 1)$ is prime follows from a well-known theorem by Rabinowitsch [10]: *For a discriminant* $\Delta = 1 - 4\kappa \leq -7$, $H(\Delta) = 1$ *iff* $x^2 + x + \kappa$ *attains only prime values for* $0 \leq x \leq \kappa - 2$.

*If instead* $z_{s-1} = h - 1$, *then* $|m_{s-1}| = |m_s| = h$, *which implies that* $z_s = -1$ *and* $|m_{s+1}| = 1$, *causing the algorithm to stop.*

*Now we prove that the last* $m_j$ *must be* $\pm 1$. *At some point we obtain* $z_{s-1} = m_s k_s$ *with* $Q(z_{s-1}, 1) = m_{s-1} m_s$ *and* $m_s$ *a divisor of* $h$. *Suppose* $m_s$ *is not a unit, then it follows that* $m_s = h$ *(as* $h$ *is prime),* $z_s = 0$, *and that* $m_{s+1}$ *is necessarily a unit.*

**Claim 2. Algorithm 1 produces a proper representation** $Q(x, y)$**.**

*It only remains to prove that* $x$ *and* $y$ *have the claimed expression. First we reverse the subscripts of the quotients, that is, the quotient* $k_s$ *becomes* $q_1$, *the quotient* $k_{s-1}$ *becomes* $q_2$, *and so on. Thus, after the while loop we have that* $z_{s-1} = m_s q_1$, *where* $m_s$ *is a unit. We know that* $Q(m_s q_1, 1) = m_{s-1} m_s$. *Consequently,* $m_{s-1} m_s = [m_s q_1 + 1, m_s q_1; h, 1]$. *Then, by Property P–6 and Property P–2 it follows*

$$z_{s-2} = [m_s^{-1} q_2, m_s q_1 + 1, m_s q_1; h, 2].$$

*Then, from the equation* $m_{s-2} m_{s-1} = Q(z_{s-2}, 1)$ *we obtain*

$$m_{s-2} m_s^{-1} = [m_s^{-1} q_2, m_s q_1 + 1, m_s q_1, m_s^{-1} q_2; h, 2] = Q([m_s q_1, m_s^{-1} q_2], [m_s^{-1} q_2]).$$

*Continuing this process, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &= [m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s, K, m_s q_1 + 1, m_s q_1, K^{-1}; h, s] \\ m_0 m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}} &= [m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s, K, m_s q_1 + 1, m_s q_1, K^{-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s; h, s] \end{aligned}$$

*where* $K = m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, \ldots, m_s^{-1} q_2$ *and* $K^{-1} = m_s^{-1} q_2, \ldots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}$.

*Consequently, from Property P–3 it follows that* $m_0 m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}} = Q(x, y)$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned} x &= [m_s q_1, m_s^{-1} q_2, \ldots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s] \\ y &= [m_s^{-1} q_2, \ldots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s] \end{aligned}$$

*As a result, each root $z_0$ of $Q(z,1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_0}$ with $1 < |z_0| < |m_0|$ gives rise to a proper representation of $m_0 m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}}$ as $Q(x,y)$. The coprimality of $x$ and $y$ follows from Property P–1.* ☐

Let us see now an example. For the ring $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-19})/2]$ the form is $x^2 + xy + 5y^2$. Noticing $251 \cdot 11 = 52^2 + 52 + 5$, the division gives

$$\begin{array}{rcr} 251 \cdot 11 = 52^2 + 52 + 5 & \to & 52 = 4 \cdot 11 + 8 \\ 11 \cdot 7 = 8^2 + 8 + 5 & \to & 8 = 1 \cdot 7 + 1 \\ 7 \cdot 1 = 1^2 + 1 + 5 & \to & 1 = 1 \cdot 1. \end{array}$$

Thus, we have $m_3 = 1$ and $(q_3, q_2, q_1) = (4, 1, 1)$. From this we recover the continuant representation of $m_0 = 251$

$$251 = \det(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & -5 & 1+1 & 1 & \\ & & & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix})$$

concluding that $251 = x^2 + xy + 5y^2$ with $x = [1,1,4] = 9$ and $y = [1,4] = 5$.

# 5 Final remarks

In Algorithm 1 we require $m_s$ to be $\pm 1$. However, this may be an unnecessarily strong restriction. If in Algorithm 1 we replace the condition of the while loop by $z_s \neq 0$, then this modified Algorithm 1 may also end with the last $m_j$, say $m_s$, being different from $\pm 1$. Further, if such $m_s$ admits a representation as $Q(x,y)$, then the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 + gxy + hy^2)(z^2 + gzw + hw^2) =& (xz - hyw)^2 + g(xz - hyw) \times \\ & \times (xw + yz + gyw) + \\ & + h(xw + yz + gyw)^2 \end{aligned}$$

will provide a desired (possibly rational) representation of $m = m_0$ for a larger number of forms $Q(x,y)$. First recall that in Algorithm 1

$$m_0 m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}} = x^2 + gxy + hy^2.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x =&[m_s q_1, m_s^{-1} q_2, \ldots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s] \\ y =&[m_s^{-1} q_2, \ldots, m_s^{(-1)^{s-2}} q_{s-1}, m_s^{(-1)^{s-1}} q_s] \end{aligned}$$

Then, to recover the representation of $m_0$ (associated with $z_0$) we just need to expressed $m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}}$ as $Q(x, y)$.

This simple modification of Algorithm 1 will provide proper representations $Q(x, y)$ of $\pm m$ for some forms $Q$ with discriminant $\Delta > 0$ and $H(\Delta) = 1$ (see [2, pp. 6–7,81–84]). Below we show some of such forms in the format $(Q, \{\text{list of possible } m_s\text{'s}\})$. Note that $m_s$ is a divisor of $h$.

$(x^2 - 2y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 2\})$
$(x^2 - 3y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 3\})$
$(x^2 - 6y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6\})$
$(x^2 - 7y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 7\})$
$(x^2 + xy - y^2, \{\pm 1\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 3y^2, \{\pm 1\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 4y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 7y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 7\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 9y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 9\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 10y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 10\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 13y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 13\})$
$(x^2 + xy - 15y^2, \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 15\})$

If $m_s^{(-1)^{s+1}}$ is not representable as $Q(x, y)$, then the modified Algorithm 1 would provide a proper representation of a (possibly rational) multiple of $m$; this is the case of $Q(x, y) = x^2 - 6y^2$, $m_0 = -37410$ and $z_0 = 1326$, where Algorithm 1 would give $m_s = 2$, $s = 2$ $x = 141$ and $y = 14$. Thus, $37410 \cdot 1/2 = 141^2 - 6 \cdot 14^2$.

From the previous remarks we have that the modified Algorithm 1 covers the cases studied in [9]. Observe that the form $x^2 - 5y^2$, studied in [9] and associated with the non-principal ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, has been superseded by the form $x^2 + xy - y^2$ associated with the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, that is, $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{5})/2]$.

Furthermore, a minor modification of Algorithm 1 can also provide alternative proofs to Propositions 2 and 3.

The approach presented in the paper is likely to work for other representations if new modified continuants are defined.

*Mathematica*® [14] implementations of most of the algorithms presented in the paper and other related algorithms are available at

`http://guillermo.com.au/wiki/List_of_Publications`

under the name of this paper.

# References

[1] J. Brillhart, *Note on representing a prime as a sum of two squares*, Mathematics of Computation **26** (1972), 1011–1013.

[2] D. A. Buell, *Binary quadratic forms. Classical theory and modern computations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[3] F. W. Clarke, W. N. Everitt, L. L. Littlejohn, and S. J. R. Vorster, *H. J. S. Smith and the Fermat two squares theorem*, The American Mathematical Monthly **106** (1999), no. 7, 652–665, doi:10.2307/2589495.

[4] C. Delorme and G. Pineda-Villavicencio, *Continuants and some decompositions into squares*, (2012), submitted.

[5] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics: A foundation for computer science*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, New York, 1994.

[6] K. Hardy, J. B. Muskat, and K. S. Williams, *A deterministic algorithm for solving* $n = fu^2 + gv^2$ *in coprime integers* $u$ *and* $v$, Mathematics of Computation **55** (1990), no. 191, 327–343, doi:10.2307/2008809.

[7] C. Hermite, *Note au sujet de l'article précédent*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **5** (1848), 15.

[8] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, 2nd ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1985.

[9] Keith Matthews, *Thue's theorem and the Diophantine equation* $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm N$, Mathematics of Computation **71** (2002), no. 239, 1281–1286.

[10] G. Rabinowitsch, *Eindeutigkeit der Zerlegung in Primzahlfaktoren in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **142** (1913), 153–164.

[11] J. A. Serret, *Sur un théorème relatif aux nombres entiers*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **5** (1848), 12–14.

[12] K. S. Williams, *On finding the solutions of* $n = au^2 + buv + cv^2$ *in integers* $u$ *and* $v$, Utilitas Mathematica **46** (1994), 3–19.

[13] ______, *Some refinements of an algorithm of Brillhart*, Number theory (Halifax, NS, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 409–416.

[14] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica Edition: Version 8.0*, Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, IL, 2010.

[15] D. Zagier, *A one-sentence proof that every prime* $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *is a sum of two squares*, The American Mathematical Monthly **97** (1990), no. 2, 144, doi:10.2307/2323918.